| AG | RF | FΝ | ЛF | NΤ |
|----|----|----|----|----|

| uttachment# | |
|-------------|-------------|
| age | of 8 |

This Agreement is entered into this 22nd day of February, 2005, by and between Leon County, Florida, a political subdivision of the State of Florida, hereinafter "County," and, McGLYNN LABORATORIES, INC., hereinafter "Contractor".

WITNESSETH

For and in consideration of the mutual covenants, restrictions, and representations set forth herein, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, County and Contractor do hereby agree as follows:

- 1. County and Contractor entered into an Agreement dated February 11, 2003, between County and Contractor, which Agreement allows for changes to be made to the agreement with prior written agreement signed by the parties thereto, the parties hereby agree to extend the Agreement to September 30, 2006. Further, the parties agree to revise the February 11, 2003 Agreement with the Scope of Work for Phase III which contains cost estimates for the various tasks, the revised Project Schedule, and the Performance Criteria with a Liquidated Damages Clause, attached respectively as Exhibits A, B, and C.
- 2. The total cost of this extended contract will remain the same.
- 3. All other provisions of the July 24, 2001 Agreement remain in full force and effect.
- 4. This agreement shall become effective upon full execution hereof by both parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties evidence their agreement through the execution of this AGREEMENT by their duly authorized signatories.

CONTRACTOR

| WITNESS: | BY: | Preside | nt |
|---|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| WITNESS: | DATE: | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | | (CORPORATE SEAL) |
| STATE OF | | | |
| The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me | this | day of | , 20 |
| Ву | , of | | |
| (Name of officer or agent, title of officer or agent) | | (Name of corpor | ration acknowledging) |
| (State or place of incorporation) He/she is personally known to me or has produced | | | as |
| | | (type of identification |) |
| | - | Sig | gnature of Notary |
| | - | Print, Type | or Stamp Name of Notary |
| | - | | Title or Rank |
| | - | Se | rial Number, If Any |

| Attachment # | 1 |
|--------------|-------|
| Page | 8 |

Agreement between Leon County, Florida and Page 2

LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

| BY: Cliff Thaell, Chairman Board of County Commissioners |
|--|
| DATE: |
| |
| |
| |
| |

EXHIBIT A Page 1 of 4

| Attachment#_ | |
|---------------|------|
| Page 3 | of 8 |

Woodville Recharg Basin Aquifer Protection Study BC-07-19-02-29

Projected Scope of Work and Fee Schedule for Phase III McGlynn Laboratories Inc.

OAPP

\$2,000

Task 6A: Water Quality Sampling/Data Collection in the Woodville Recharge Basin (12 month)

6.2 Sample surface waters in the Woodville Recharge Basin.

Aimes Sink, Southwood Sink and Blueberry Sink/Moonshine Sink, Chicken Branch

\$5,700 4 storm events sampled with flow measurement at 3 sites)

Aimes Sink costs included in Leon County Lakes Ecology (sample & analysis cost) \$125 per flow, Water Quality and Metals at \$350 per sample (sample & analysis cost)

\$4,200 12 base flow sample analysis @ 350 per sample (4 quarterly per site)

\$9,900

6.5 Septic Tank Sampling

1500 Geogolist assistance

2500 3 shallow wells drilled per OSDS

2,400 3 wells at 3 OSDS sites sampled quarterly @ 200 per site

660 Inflow to 3 sites quarterly (nitrate, Cl and TP @ \$55 per sample)

1,980 9 samples analyzed quarterly (nitrate, Cl and TP @ \$55 per sample)

2,000 2 samples analyzed for organics

\$11,040

6.6 Atmospheric Deposition Sampling.

1000 Three wells installed in National Forrest

1200 Monthly site visits for sample collection (12 x 3) at 100 per visit

1200 Event sampling (4 per site) at 100 per visit

1980 Sample analysis (nitrate and TP) monthly (3x12) at \$55 per sample

\$5,380

** Laboratory Experiments

1000 Mesocosm Core Setup (construction)

4000 Mesocosm Experimental Setup (ANOVA array 4x4, quarterly)

3520 Sample Analysis (nitrate, Cl and TP @ \$55 per sample)

\$8,520

6.7 Define the conduit flow utilizing dye studies, the direction of conduit groundwater flow, and the water quality in the Woodville aquifer. Emphasize the area down gradient of the City of Tallahassee sprayfield and, for this portion of the basin.

19,000 Equipment (rental cost is \$28,400-2 studies, 2 months each)

14,000 2 tracer studies at 7000 per study

7 full days per person

\$33,000 (St. Marks, Southwoods Sink, Natural Wells)

2 small 1 big

6.8 Collect and update data on application of residuals, agricultural activities and permit status of sprayfield \$3,000

6.9 Karst Feature Inventory, continuous assessment of karst features

\$2,000

Subtotal 6A:

\$72,840

Task 6B:

6.1 No piezometric levels groundwater flow monitoring

6.4 Ground water quality sampling in the Woodville Recharge Basin.

*Wells selected and drilled after confirmed results from USGS study

10,000 10 deep wells sampled quarterly at 250 per sampling

8,000 10 samples analyzed quarterly for WRB parameters @ 200 per sample

5,000 5 samples analyzed for organics at 1000 per sample Organics

30,000 3 deep wells drilled with lithology

Subtotal 6B:

\$53,000

EXHIBIT A

Page 2 of 4

| Attachment#_ | 1 |
|--------------|-------|
| ⊃age | _of_8 |

| Task 7: | Hydrologic Vulnerability Assessment in the Woodville Recharge Basin (12 month) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|---|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Projects to be started later 7.0 Geoprobes to analyze surficial sediments (soil and clay classification) and permeabilities | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.0 Geoprobes to | analyze surfi | cial sedimen | ts (soil and clay | classification) and permeabilit | ies | | | | | | |
| | | \$4,000 | 6-8 shallow | wells placed in | areas not covered by deep well | S | | | | | | |
| | 7.1 Assess and quantify the transport of pollutants from the CoT Sprayfield to the aquifer. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.2 Assess and qu | uantify the im | pacts to aqui | fer water qualit | y due to land disposal | | | | | | | |
| | of waste water | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.3 Assess and quantify the transport of pollutants from residential septic systems to the aquifer. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.4 Assess and quantify the transport of stormwater pollutants to the aquifer due to direct groundwater percolation. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | direct greate | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Assess and au | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 7.5 Assess and quantify the transport of stormwater polutants to the aquifer via runoff/ conveyance into open sinks and soil filled karst depressions. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.6 Assess and quantify the transport of pollutants from agricultural activities and | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | other local lar | d uses to the | aquifer. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.7 Assess and qu | antify the tra | nsport of atm | ospheric pollut | ant loadings to the aquifer. | | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.8 For each of the basins in the study rea, determine the annual volume of (1) direct | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | percolation to the aquifer and (2) the input via runoff and conveyance to the sinks within the basin. Produce a table with these results. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$1,150 | | GIS: | \$600 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.9 Produce a table of ratios (for the individual basins) that compares the magnitude of | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | runoff/convey | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | \$900 | 1 | GIS: | \$390 | | | | | | | |
| | 7.10 Produce a second scale of 1 to 10 | | normalizes t | he values deter | nined in Task 7.9 to a | | | | | | | |
| | | \$105 | | GIS: | \$75 | | | | | | | |
| | Subtotal Task 7: | \$14,205 | Subtotal C | SIS Task 7: | \$5,265 | | | | | | | |
| Task 8: | GIS Mapping/Modeling (begins after data collection) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Projects to be started later 8.1 Produce County GIS compatible data layers displaying the hydrologic vulnerability | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | of the alocad b | geine in the 12 | uoie uata lay | ers displaying | ine nydrologic vulnerability | | | | | | | |
| | of the closed basins in the Woodville Recharge Basin for case and other noted tasks | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | \$2,000 GIS: \$6,000 8.2 Modeling | | | | | | | | | | | |

\$1,500 model software and licensing

\$13,500 Subtotal GIS Task 8:

\$1,500

Subtotal Task 8:

\$8,500 startup costs (loading data, coordination between model & GIS systems)

GIS:

\$5,500 \$11,500

38

Attachment #

Task 9: Pollutant Loading Analyses (begins after data collection) Projects to be started later

- 9.1 Determine the in-place pollutant loadings in the Woodville Recharge Basin.
 - 9.1.1 Develop a table of annual in-place non-point pollutant loadings for the individual property parcels (or groups of parcels).

GIS:

\$1,240

9.1.2 Produce a color shaded or color coded GIS parcel map that displays in-place pollution loading per acre.

\$760

GIS:

\$1,240

- 9.2 Determine the pollutant loadings transported to the aquifer in the Woodville Recharge Basin
 - 9.2.1 Determine the total annual pollutant loadings (from mechanisms 7.1 - 7.7) transported into the aquifer beneath each closed basin.

\$760

GIS:

\$1,240

9.2.2 Produce a table summarizing these values.

\$190

GIS: \$310

9.2.3 Produce a table normalizing the values in the table above to a scale from 1 to 10. \$95

GIS: \$155

9.2.4 Produce a County GIS compatible data layer to display both the total loadings per closed basin and the pollutant loadings per acre.

\$760

GIS: \$1,240

- 9.3 Determine aerial distribution of aquifer pollution in the Woodville Recharge Basin
 - 9.3.1 Utilizing Models the aerial extent and concentraction of aquifer pollution.

\$760

GIS: \$1,240

9.3.2 Determine those portions of the aquifer where FDEP drinking water standards could be violated at build out.

\$760

GIS: \$1,240

9.3.3 Develop a County GIS compatible map of Woodville Recharge Basin displaying the aquifer pollution findings.

\$760

GIS:

\$1,240

Subtotal Task 9:

Task 10:

\$5,605 Subtotal GIS Task 9:

\$9,145

Propose Mitigation Options and Associated Cost Estimates

Projects to be started later

- 10.1 Based on the findings of the steps above, propose appropriate basin specific mitigation measures that can include, but are not limited to:
 - *Restricting the use of septic tanks in aquifer-vulnerable areas.
 - *Modifications to the current TP Smith WRF management plan.
 - *Proposing sewer systems for specific areas of the Woodville Recharge Basin.
 - *Proposing better water quality treatment regulations for stormwater runoff.
 - *Proposing specific stormwater retrofit projects to provide stormwater treatment prior to discharge
 - *Restricting certain land uses or the density of development in aquifer vulnerable
 - *Purchasing of specific aquifer vulnerable lands by government.
 - *Offering tax incentives for placing conservtion easements on aquifer vulnerable
 - *Encouraging via incentives, zoning, etc. the development of land parcels that are less likely to cause pollution of the aquifer.
 - *Establish a permit based trust fund to provide the monies to accomplish land and conservation easement purchases.
 - *Other suggestions tendered by the Consultant.

\$17,000

10.2 Develop preliminary cost estimates for implementing the land purchase and capital improvement options set forth in 10.1.

\$2,000

Subtotal Task 10.2:

\$19,000

EXHIBIT A

Page 4 of 4

Attachment # Page 6 of 8

Task 11: Prepare Draft Report of the results of Tasks 6 through 10.

Subtotal Task 11:

\$3,000

Task 12: Hold public meetings to disseminate and discuss the findings and recommendations of the Study.

Subtotal Task 12:

\$3,400

Task 13: Prepare the Final Report for the Woodville Recharge Basin Aquifer Protection Study including task 10 and 12.

Subtotal Task 13:

\$7,000

TOTAL All Tasks:

\$193,550 Total all GIS Tasks: \$25,910

EXHIBIT B Page 1 of 1

| Attachment #_ | |
|---------------|---------------|
| Page | _of_ 8 |

Woodville Recharg Basin Aquifer Protection Study BC-07-19-02-29

Time Line of Work and Fee Schedule for Phase III
McGlynn Laboratories Inc.

QAPP will be submitted in February 2005

| | M-05 | A-05 | M-05 | J-05 | J-05 | A-05 | S-05 | O-05 | N-05 | D-05 | J-06 | F-06 | M-06 | A-06 | M-06 | J-06 | J-06 | A-06 | S-0 |
|-----------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------|---|--|---------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|----------------|------|-------------|----------|----------|-----|
| | _1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | . 7 | 8 | 9 | | _11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | . 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| Task 6A: | Water (| | | | Collec | tion in | the Wo | odville | Rechar | ge Bası | n (12 m | ionth) | | | | • | | | |
| | 1 | 2 - | 3 | -4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8. | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | | | | | | |
| astios: | Biweek | \$000005.7x2x000x5004xxx | SERVICE SHOW REPORT | A INDICATION CONTRACTOR | es fron | DESCRIPTION OF SHARE | THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE | | N. COLONIA DE LA COLONIA DE | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | | | | | - 5 | | | | 9 | | 11 | | | | | | | | |
| Tajk 6B: | Water (| Quality | Sampli | ng/Data | Collec | tion in | the Wo | odville | Rechar | ge Basi | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | | . 5 | -6 | 7 | - 8 | 9 | 710 | 11 | 12 | | | | | |
| Task 7: | Hydrol | ogic Vu | Inerabi | ity Ass | essmer | t in the | Wood | ille Re | charge | Basin (| 12 mon | th) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3 | | | | -7 | 8 | 9 | -10 | 11 | .12 | | | | | |
| Task 8: | GIS M | | | | ins afte | | | | | Tribute construction | 900 / SCOT MIZE | \$1436@30 (K) | and the state of t | CORNER DE CORE | | | | | |
| | | | | | 3 | | | | - 7 | 8 - | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | | | | | |
| Task 9: | Polluta | nt Load | | | begins | | | | See and | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | A Secretary and the con- | 3 | A A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF | THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW | THE PERSON NAMED IN | WALLSON WALLS | - 8: | 9: | 10 | | 12 | | | | | |
| Task 11: | <u> гераге</u> | quane | riy Dra | it Kepo | rt of the | results | of las | ks 6 thr | ough 9 | | | | | | | | 60000000 | | |
| | D | Misia | · ` | | d A | | Cost E | | | | | | | } | | | 1 | | |
| Task 10: | rropus | : MIIII | ilion U | puons a | na Assi | ciated | Cost E | sumate | 8 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Task 12: | Hold p | blic m | otinaa | to diag | minata | and di | | S C di | | | 1 . 1 | | | | 3. | CONTRACT OF | | | |
| Task 12: | noiu p | ione in | cungs | 10 01886 | mmate | and the | cuss in | e mnum | igs and | recom | nengan | ons or | ne Stud | y. | | | 1 | | |
| Task 13: | renare | the Fir | al Ren | ort for | ne Wood | dville l | Achara | a Basir | Aguis | r Drote | C | nd. | | | | | MERCHAN | \dashv | |
| 1 axk 13: | Сраге | uic I'ii | ai ivep | 011 101 | 10 1700 | uvine P | cenary | с Бази | . 74010 | 1 Frote | cuon St | uuy. | | | | | | | -1: |

| Attachment#_ | 1 | |
|--------------|---------------|--|
| Page 8 | _of_ <u>8</u> | |

EXHIBIT C Page 1 of 1

EXHIBIT C

PERFORMANCE CRITERIA

PERFORMANCE DEADLINES AND LIQUIDATED DAMAGES:

The work to be performed under this Contract as defined in the scope of services for Phase III shall be completed according to the two performance milestones listed below. If the work to be performed under this Contract is not completed within the time set forth above for each milestone, or within such extra time as may be granted by the County, the Contractor shall be deemed to be in default. For each day the Contractor is in default for each milestone, the Contractor or its Surety shall pay to the County, not as a penalty, but as liquidated damages, the sum of \$200.

Permitting the Contractor to continue and finish the work or any part of it after the expiration of the contract time allowed, including extensions, if any, shall in no way act as a waiver on the part of County of the liquidated damages due under the contract.

PROJECT MILESTONES

- 1. The Draft Woodville Recharge Basin Aquifer Protection Study Report shall be submitted to the County for its review by July 1, 2006.
- 2. The Final Woodville Recharge Basin Aquifer Protection Study Report shall be submitted to the County by September 1, 2006.